| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/666,920 | HAKKINEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Robert C. Scheibel | 2616 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Robert C. Scheibel*.

(2) *James A. Retter (Reg. No. 41,266)*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *25 May 2006*

**Time:** *11:10 AM EDT*

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*2-7, 29, and 42-44*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner recommended changes to the claims above to clarify a few items in the claims (primarily 112, antecedent basis issues). Applicant agreed to these changes.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Robert C Scheibel 5-25-06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)